

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/663,888 | 09/16/2003 | William Scott Carmichael | C0959.10.U | 4499 | |
| 29633 75 | 590 01/19/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| ROGERS TOWERS, P.A. 1301 RIVERPLACE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 | | | ZEC, I | ZEC, FILIP | |
| | LACE BOOLEVARD, SUI LE, FL 32207 | 1E 1500 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 3744 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/663,888 | CARMICHAEL 🗗 | TAL. | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Filip Zec | 3744 | | | |
| The MAILING L Period for Reply | DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet w | with the correspondence a | ddress | | |
| THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the second | TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ivailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply cified above, the maximum statutory period we to rextended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to o | communication(s) filed on 15 No | ovember 2004. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this applie | <u>, — </u> | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | ! | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14</u> 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/a | and 16-27 is/are rejected. | n from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification 10)☒ The drawing(s) for the drawing specificant may no Replacement drawing specification. | n is objected to by the Examiner filed on 15 November 2004 is/art request that any objection to the cwing sheet(s) including the correction aration is objected to by the Examiner. | e: a) accepted or b) [Irawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawin | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgmen a) All b) Sor 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application | it is made of a claim for foreign | have been received. have been received in ty documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National | Stage | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F | Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTo | O-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/663,888 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 11/15/04. These drawings are acceptable.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-9, filed 11/15/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-15 and 17-27 under 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 102(b) over U.S. Patent 1,614,017 to Nuhrah et al. Per applicant's arguments in regards to the ramp(s) being removable, Nuhrah describes in detail (col 3, lines 55-59) how the entire structure is removable from the container (2). Also, it is obvious to anyone skilled in the art, that the rods (7 and 6) and the ramp (5), attached to the rods, are separable from the rest of the container (FIG. 3). Once the container is filled with either ice or cans, it becomes stationary and reads on the applicant's claims. Finally, the slots used to retain the container are not shown, however they are located on the top right/left corners in FIG. 2, where the top flange (8) of the dividing wall (2c) rests on the outside wall (1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/663,888 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 3-14, 17-22 and 24-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 1,614,017 to Nuhrah et al. Nuhrah (FIG. 3) teaches an icebox container (1, FIG. 10) for cooling cans or bottles (4), by direct contact with ice water (col 1, lines 50-54), having a main compartment (2a) accessible from top via a lid (10) and a vertically oriented chute (2b), having a lower opening (FIG. 3a) for providing ingress into the main compartment, a stationary chute ramp member (the curved bottom of element 2, FIG. 3), also for directing the cans along the bottom of the container and a dividing wall (2c) between the chute and the main compartment. Claim 5 of Nuhrah also teaches that the entire structure (2) is used as an insert and, therefor, can be removed from an icebox. Since the whole structure is removable, the retaining means, claimed presently by the applicant with respect to either a divider or a main compartment, is the outer lip (1, FIG. 1). Nuhrah continues to teach an opposing stationary ramp member (5b) for orienting the cans/bottles vertically into the main compartment. Finally, the hinged lever arm (5) holds at least two or more bottles and cans (FIG. 3) and represents the horizontal shelf parallel to the bottom.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3744

6. Claims 16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 1,614,017 to Nuhrah et al., in view of U.S. Patent 4,509,587 to Clark et al. Nuhrah discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, an icebox container for cooling cans or bottles by direct contact with ice water, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating the use of apertures in the dividing wall. Clark shows apertures in walls of refrigerated compartments to be old in the cooling art (102, FIG. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Clark to modify the system of Nuhrah, by adding apertures in the dividing wall in order to provide for the flow of the cooling fluid into the chute.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/663,888

Art Unit: 3744

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is (571) 272-4815. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Filip Zec

Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

Art Unit 3742

FZ